(95:5) ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as the eluant) to give $2.84 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$ of the desired product, $\mathrm{mp} 229-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 71.98 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 71.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.1$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was identical with that of $(-) \cdot \mathbf{- 3}{ }^{4}$ Recrystallization of this compound from ethyl acetate (in which it is very sparingly soluble) slowly afforded small platelets ( $\mathrm{mp} 234^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of a monohydrate. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 67.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.96$. Found: C, 67.8; H, 6.9.

Optical Resolution of ( $\pm$ )-3; Preparation and Separation of Diastereomers 26 and 27 . Triphenol $( \pm)-3(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.3$ mequiv) and $(R) \cdot(+)$-2-phenoxypropionic acid ${ }^{15}(810 \mathrm{mg}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ were allowed to react in 5 mL of dimethylformamide in the presence of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4 -(dimethylamino) pyridine ( 60 mg , $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}) ;{ }^{38}$ after the solution was stirred for 3 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen, the precipitate (dicyclohexylurea) was removed by filtration and washed with 50 mL of dichloromethane. The filtrate was washed with 1 N HCl , water, and $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over sodium sulfate, and evaporated to dryness. The solid residue ( 1.4 g ) was taken up with 20 mL of ether and collected by suction filtration, affording 1 g ( $100 \%$ ) of the 1:1 mixture of $\mathbf{2 6}$ and $\mathbf{2 7}$. These diastereomers were separated over silica gel, using dichloromethane-ether (99:1) as the eluant; the $1: 1$ mixture was first chromatographed on a column ( 200 g of adsorbent), giving four partially resolved fractions which then were submitted to preparative TLC. In this way, 345 mg of 27 (first eluted) was obtained as a white amorphous powder having $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+99^{\circ}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, and 335 mg of 26 was isolated after crystallization from ether, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{D}+37^{\circ}$ (c $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) ( 26 very likely forms a crystalline complex with ether, as suggested from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR). Both diastereomers were pure, as judged from TLC and from their $250-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (internal TMS in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathbf{2 7}) 1.33$ (t, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.99 (q, $J$ $\left.=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.79(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.53 and $4.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ), 6.80 and $6.90(\mathrm{~s}$, aromatic H's of the cyclotriveratrylene cap), 6.99-7.02 and 7.26-7.32 (m, aromatic H's of the phenoxypropionate residue), (26) 1.26 (t), 3.77-4.00 (m), 1.77 (d), 4.95 (q), 3.49 and 4.65 (d), 6.72 and $6.80(\mathrm{~s}), 6.95-7.02$ and 7.27-7.33 (m).

Cleavage of Diastereomers 27 and 26 to ( + )- and ( - )-3. Diastereomer $27(278 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added by portion to a stirred suspension of lithium aluminum hydride ( 150 mg ) in 5 mL of tetrahydrofuran at -5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred for 15 min at this temperature and then l h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hydrolysis was carried out at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (internal
(38) Neises, B.; Steglich, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 522-524.
temperature) by adding successively several drops of ethyl acetate, ether (nonanhydrous), water, and finally l N sulfuric acid in order to dissolve precipitated alumina. Extraction with ether ( 100 mL ) followed by evaporation to dryness under vacuum (no heating!) afforded a mixture of the desired triphenol and 2-phenoxypropanol, which was separated by chromatography over 40 g of silica gel (dichloromethane-ether (99:1)). The purest fractions on evaporation ( $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) afforded a glass ( 130 mg , $93 \%$ ), which on standing in the presence of ether became crystalline; 110 $\mathrm{mg}\left(79 \%\right.$ ) of pure ( + )-3 was thus collected: $\mathrm{mp} 250^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+293^{\circ}$ (c $0.34, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 71.98 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71$. Found: C, 71.5; H, 6.8 .

In a similar way, cleavage of 26 afforded ( - )-3, having $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{D}-293^{\circ}$ (c $0.30, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ )

## Appendix

Spectra Calculations and Curve Plotting. The A and E components of each CD couplet were assigned wavenumbers $\tilde{\nu}_{\mathrm{A}}=\tilde{\nu}_{0}$ $+{ }^{2} / 3 \Delta \tilde{\nu}$ and $\tilde{\nu}_{\mathrm{E}}=\tilde{\nu}_{0}-1 / 3 \Delta \tilde{\nu}$, respectively, where $\tilde{\nu}_{0}$ is the wavenumber of the "monomer"; as discussed in the text, $\Delta \tilde{v}$, the exciton splitting, was considered to be 3 times the value calculated with the point-dipole approximation (eq II); i.e., $\Delta \tilde{\nu}=3(3 V / h c)$. Then, each component was given the corresponding rotatory strength from eq III, without configuration interaction, or from eq III + IV, with interaction, and, assuming that the CD spectrum is the sum of these $i$ Gaussian bands, the theoretical spectrum was plotted by using function IX, ${ }^{8}$ where $A=4 N(2 \pi)^{5 / 2} / 3 h c 10^{3} \ln$ $10=18.8 \times 10^{37} \mathrm{cgsu}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \epsilon(\tilde{\nu})=A \sum_{i}\left(\frac{R_{i} \tilde{\nu}_{i}}{\sigma_{i}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{-\left(\tilde{\nu}-\tilde{\nu}_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{i}^{2}}\right) \tag{IX}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard deviation of a band, $\sigma_{i}=\Gamma_{i} / 2.354$, was considered to be a function of the wavenumber, ${ }^{31} \sigma_{i}=P\left(\tilde{v}_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}$, and the curve plotting function IX accordingly becomes (X).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \epsilon(\tilde{\nu})=\left(\left(18.8 \times 10^{37}\right) / P\right) \sum_{i}\left(R_{i}\left(\tilde{\nu}_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \exp \left(\frac{-\left(\tilde{\nu}-\tilde{\nu}_{i}\right)^{2}}{4 P^{2} \tilde{\nu}_{i}}\right) \tag{X}
\end{equation*}
$$

Parameter $P$ was usually taken to be 6.123 , corresponding to $\Gamma$ $=2700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at 285 nm .
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#### Abstract

The preparation and cationic rearrangement of some 15 [4.3.2]propellane derivatives are described. The resulting products are summarized in Tables I-III. The rearrangements were found to be under strict stereoelectronic control, wherein the central or peripheral $\sigma$-bond of the cyclobutane ring best aligned with the leaving group ( $\pi$-system in the case of olefins) undergoes initial migration. Product assignments were based either on single-crystal X-ray analysis or chemical correlation with known compounds.


In 1978 Ranieri and Calton published the isolation and characterization of quadrone (1), a biologically active sesquiterpene with a unique carbon skeleton. ${ }^{2.3}$ The years since this discovery

[^0]have witnessed significant activity directed toward the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$; to date seven syntheses of racemic quadrone have been reported. ${ }^{4 a-8}$ Our own interest in the quadrone structure ${ }^{5}$ led us

[^1]to consider a synthetic strategy wherein the key step would entail the acid-catalyzed rearrangement of hydroxypropellane 2 to olefin 3, thereby generating the desired quadrone skeleton (i.e., eq 1 ).

(1)

While carbonium ion mediated rearrangements of propellanes are well documented (vide infra), reactions initiated by alcohol and olefinic functionalities in the cyclohexane ring have been little explored. We report herein a full account of our work in this area, which demonstrates that, in general, such processes are under strict stereoelectronic control. ${ }^{6}$

## Background

Acid-catalyzed rearrangements of [m.n.2]propellane derivatives ( $m \geq 3, n \geq 3$ ) have been studied extensively over the years. Important contributions of Cargill, ${ }^{7}$ Tobe, ${ }^{8}$ Eaton, ${ }^{9}$ and others have helped to clarify the course of these reactions. As depicted in Scheme I, products of the Cargill rearrangement are best explained by an initial 1,2 -migration of the external bond of the cyclobutane ring.

Recently, however, several reports have appeared which indicate that central bond migration is possible. Eaton and co-workers ${ }^{9}$ explored the acid-catalyzed rearrangement of the strained tricyclo[4.2.2]decane 4. The product isolated, tricyclic diol 5, derives

from central bond migration. Here the energetically expensive process of forming a bridgehead carbonium ion is offset by release of the strain energy of two cyclobutane rings.

Employing less strained propellanes, Tobe and co-workers ${ }^{8}$ demonstrated that the course of the rearrangement can be profoundly affected by the reaction conditions. For example, treatment of 6 with $p$-toluenesulfonic acid in benzene gave the expected [3.3.3]propellane 7 (mechanism " $a$ ", Scheme II), whereas the same reaction carried out with acetic acid as solvent afforded 8. While no explanation was given by the authors for the ease with which central bond migration occurs, it would seem rea-
(4) (a) Danishefsky, S.: Vaughan, K.; Gadwood, R. C.; Tsuzuki, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981. 103, 4136-4141; 1980, 102, 4262-4263. (b) Bornack, W. K.; Bhagwat, S. S.; Ponton, J.; Helquist, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, I03, 4647-4648. (c) Burke, S. D.; Murtiashaw, C. W.; Saunders, J. O.: Dike, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 872-874. (d) Takeda, K.; Shimono, Y.; Yoshii, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 563-568. (e) Kende, A. S.: Roth, B.; Sanfilippo, P. J.; Blacklock, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5808-5810. (f) Schlessinger, R. H.; Wood, J. L.; Poss. A. J.; Nugent, R. A.; Parsons, W. H. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1146-1147. (g) Dewanckele, J. M.; Zutterman, F.; Vandewalle, M. Tetrahedron, 1983, 39, 3235-3244.
(5) Smith, A. B., III; Wexler, B. A.; Slade, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 1631-1634.
(6) For a preliminary account of this work, see: Smith, A. B., III; Wexler, B. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 22. 2317-2320. For the now complete synthesis and assignment of absolute stereochemistry of quadrone, see: Smith, A. B., III: Konopelski. J. P. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4094.
(7) Cargill, R. L.; Jackson, T. E.: Pert. N. P.; Pond, D. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1974, 7, 106-1 13 and references therein.
(8) (a) Tobe, Y.; Hayauchi, Y.; Sakai. Y.; Odaira, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 637-641. (b) Kakiuchi, K.; Hato, Y.; Tobe, Y.; Odaira, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 6-7. (c) Kakiuchi, K.; Itoga, K.; Tsugara, T.; Hato, Y.; Tobe, Y.; Odaira, Y. J. Org. Chem: 1984, 49, 659-665. (d) Kakiuchi, K.: Nakao, T.; Takeda, M.: Tobe. Y.: O.daira, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25 , 557-560.
(9) Eaton, P. E.; Jobe, P. G.: Nyi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6636-6638.
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sonable to suggest a kinetic preference ${ }^{10}$ for central bond migration in this case, followed by efficient capture of the carbonium ion by solvent.
Rearrangement Studies and Discussion. At the outset we considered it prudent to examine the rearrangements of several simple propellane derivatives $9-11$ before initiating the quadrone venture (see Scheme III). Toward this end, treatment of anti alcohol 9 with $40 \%$ sulfuric acid in THF led to a mixture of two compounds, identified as 12 and 13. Compound 12 , the resuit of initial peripheral bond migration, was found to undergo further rearrangement to $\mathbf{1 3}$ via a secondary 1,2 -shift (bond "a"), thereby affording the isocomene ring system. The syn propellane 10 , on the other hand, afforded a single product when similarly treated. To our surprise, 14, which is isomeric with 12 and 13, derived from central bond migration. Thus the isomeric alcohols 9 and 10 react via different pathways under the same reaction conditions. Probing further, when olefin 11 was subjected to the standard acid rearrangement conditions, only the secondary product 13 (i.e., initial peripheral bond migration) was isolated.
These data indicated that the rearrangements of model propellanes 9-11 are under stereoelectronic control, as is in evidence in the rearrangements of other polycyclic molecules. For example, the elegant studies of Schleyer and co-workers ${ }^{11}$ on the rear-

[^2]Table I. syn-Propellanols and Rearrangement Products

| Substrate | Conditions and yield (percent) | Product | Method of structure assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{THF} \\ 30 \mathrm{~min} / 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ (93 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | X-ray |
|  <br> 19 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{THF} \\ 18 \mathrm{hr} / 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ (85 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | X-ray |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{THF} \\ 18 \mathrm{hr} / 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ (86 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | (1) MsCl/pyr <br> (2) $\mathrm{LEEt}_{3} 8 \mathrm{H}$ |
|  <br> 21 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{THF} \\ 18 \mathrm{hr} / 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ (89 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | X-ray |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{THF} \\ 18 \mathrm{hr} / 40^{\circ} \mathrm{c} \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | X-ray |

rangements of adamantane systems clearly demonstrate the importance of overlap of the vacant orbital and the $\sigma$-bond of the migrating group. Similarly, Nickon and Weglein ${ }^{12}$ demonstrated the importance of bond alignment of the migrating and leaving groups in concerted Wagner-Meerwein rearrangements. In their example, polycyclic hydrocarbon 15, when subjected to acetolysis

conditions, afforded acetate 16 (bond "a" migration, dihedral angle $166^{\circ}$ ) as the predominant product over the thermodynamically more stable products 17 and 18 (bond " b " migration, dihedral angle $152^{\circ}$ ).

A similar interpretation of our results seemed reasonable. The empty orbital of protonated olefin 11 would be expected to be well aligned with the peripheral bond of the cyclobutane ring. The syn alcohol 10 , which cannot attain a conformation with the secondary hydroxyl group antiperiplanar to the peripheral bond, undergoes central bond migration. On the other hand, anti alcohol 9 can assume both conformations (hydroxyl group antiperiplanar to either the central or the external bond), yet affords only the product of external bond migration. Following this argument, one is led to the conclusion that the reactive conformation of 9 (in a concerted rearrangement) is one in which the hydroxyl group

[^3]Table II. Anti-Propellanols and Rearrangement Products

Substrate | Conditions and |
| :---: |
| yie/d $/$ percent $)$ |

is antiperiplanar to the external bond. ${ }^{13}$
In order to gain more insight into the demands of these systems, a series of tricyclic compounds were prepared that contain some or all of the structural features needed to implement a quadrone synthesis. These compounds, together with their rearrangement products, are illustrated in Tables I-III. Table I contains the propellanes that possess a syn alcohol as the carbocation initiating group; the propellanes in Table II are identical with those in Table I except for the configuration of the secondary hydroxyl group, which is anti; Table III contains the five unsaturated propellanes.

The results presented in Table I indicate that each propellanol affords a single rearranged product derived from central bond migration. Such results are consistent with the above mechanistic rationale. That is, regardless of the configuration of second substituents on the cyclohexane ring, the rearrangement products arise via backside attack of the migrating bond on the carbon bearing the equatorial secondary hydroxyl group.

Similarly, the propellenes in Table III afford products derived solely from peripheral bond migration, again in accord with the suggested stereoelectronic control. However, in contrast to the carbonyl systems studied by Cargill, ${ }^{7}$ a competition vis-à-vis secondary bond migrations is observed when the initiating group is an olefin. That is in the Cargill rearrangement, the results (as in Scheme I) are consistent with the driving force for secondary bond migration being re-formation of the ketone functionality, whereas with olefins no such driving force exists. Examination

[^4]Table III. Olefins and Rearrangement Products
Condition and
Yield (percent)
of molecular models of the intermediate carbocation (40) derived from peripheral bond migration indicates that the empty p orbital is in good alignment with two bonds of the original cyclohexane ring (Scheme IV). Bond "a" migration generates the isocomene skeleton, whereas bond " b " migration gives the [3.3.3]propellane skeleton. This secondary migration seems to be under very subtle control, since relatively minor changes in structure ( $\mathbf{1 1 \rightarrow 3 6 \text { ) or }}$ substitution pattern ( $\mathbf{3 7} \rightarrow \mathbf{3 8}$ ) result in a complete change in product formation.

The anti-propellanols in Table II represent the most complicated set of rearrangement reactions. Examination of the products obtained indicates that both external and central bond migrations are manifest. Addition of the gem-dimethyl ${ }^{18}$ substituents to 9 gives 27, a compound that exhibits products derived from both

[^5]

Scheme IV

central (31) and external (32) bond shifts upon treatment with methanesulfonic acid in benzene. Use of more nucleophilic reaction conditions (aqueous sulfuric acid or formic acid) results in the isolation of only 31. The formation of both $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ from a single reaction mixture is unique in this study ${ }^{19}$ and indicates a delicate balance between the opposing pathways, while the exclusive formation of the product of central bond migration (i.e., 31) under nucleophilic conditions is reminiscent of the results of Tobe ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ involving ketone 6 . To our knowledge, the origin of this solvent effect is unknown.

More in line with our expectations were the results obtained from the rearrangements of $\mathbf{2 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 9}$, both of which afforded a single product derived from peripheral bond shift. Indeed, compounds 33 and 34 were also obtained from the corresponding olefins 37 and 38 (Table III), indicating that similar forces are in effect in the anti alcohols and their unsaturated analogues. It therefore came as somewhat of a surprise when X-ray crystallographic analysis of 29 indicated that in the solid state 29 occupies



$\equiv$

30
a half-chair conformation with both substituents equatorial! Our interpretation of the results to this point had rested on a concerted reaction mechanism with an antiperiplanar rearrangement of the migrating bond and leaving group. If such were the case, however, the conformation of 29 as displayed in the crystal structure (see ORTEP) would dictate central bond shift.
To resolve this issue we examined the possibility that the so-lution- and solid-state conformations of 29 differ. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 29 exhibits a doublet of doublets at $\delta 4.2$ for the C-2 proton. The coupling constants of 11 and 5 Hz indicate an axial arrangement for this proton, ${ }^{20}$ implying that the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution

[^6]Scheme V

conformation of 29 is similar to that in the solid state. ${ }^{21}$ Nevertheless, in light of the results given in Tables I-iII we suggest that the reactive conformation of 29 is not the half chair occupied in the crystalline (or $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution) state, but rather one wherein the C-2 hydroxyl group is antiperiplanar to the peripheral cyclobutane bond.

From the rearrangement reactions of 9,28 , and 29 we were confident that $\mathbf{3 0}$ would lead to the desired quadrone precursor, provided that secondary migrations could be suppressed. Much to our amazement, however, compound 35 , obtained from 30 in $83 \%$ yield, derived from central bond migration! Again we sought insight to this result through conformational analysis. The sol-id-state structure of 30, depicted above, clearly shows that the cis-1,4-disubstituted cyclohexane ring occupies a boat conformation with both substituents pseudoequatorial. In this case the conformation of the molecule in the solid state corresponds to the theory of backside assistance of the migrating bond, leading to inversion at C -2, with concomitant generation of the energetically less favored trans-bicyclo[3.3.0] octane embodied in 35.

Thus, with the possible exception of product 34 derived from diol 29, it appears that the acid-catalyzed rearrangements of [4.3.2]propellan-2-ols undergo concerted rearrangements involving initial 1,2-alkyl shift of the best aligned cyclobutyl bond. In cases wherein initial peripheral bond migration pertains, facile secondary alkyl shifts occur, due to good bond alignment of a secondary migratory group with the empty orbital of the intermediate carbonium ion (40).

## Preparative Experiments

The [4.3.2]propellane derivatives employed in this study were prepared by using the methodology developed in connection with our recent modhephene synthesis. ${ }^{22}$ Specifically, we envisioned the rearrangement termini (alcohol or olefin) of the target molecules to originate from the corresponding ketone 41 , which in turn could be obtained via [2+2]-photochemical cycloaddition of the requisite olefin (ethylene or isobutylene) to enone 42 (Scheme V).

In this regard we had observed in earlier work ${ }^{22}$ that condensation of methyl acrylate (or methyl methacrylate) with azadiene 43, followed by treatment with methyl iodide, afforded enone 44

(or 45) in good yield without concomitant formation of the
(21) We also examined the structure of 22, which should exist in a diequatorial conformation similar to 29. Indeed, this is the case in the solid state, as evidenced by the X-ray analysis. Furthermore, while the 'H NMR of 22 is difficult to interpret due to similar chemical shifts of the C-2 proton and the methylene protons of the hydroxymethyl moiety, the closely related analogues $i$ and $i i$ each exhibit a doublet of doublets in the NMR consistent with an equatorial conformation of the secondary hydroxyl group. Therefore, in the case of 22 the solid state and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution structure and the reaction product are all consistent with the idea of stereoelectronic control of the rearrangement process.

(22) Smith, A. B., III; Jerris. P. J. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1845-1855.
isomeric $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester (in the case of 44). ${ }^{23}$
Treatment of enones 44 and 45 with barium hydroxide in water at reflux achieved concomitant hydrolysis and decarboxylation to afford enones 46 and 47, respectively, in high yield. ${ }^{22}$


Photochemical Cycloadditions: Construction of the Propellane Skeleton. Attention was next focused on the synthesis of the various [4.3.2]propellanones. The simplest of these, propellanone 48, was formed in $86 \%$ yield by irradiation of a methylene chloride

solution of 46 in the presence of ethylene at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h . In this case, there is no possibility for regio- or stereochemical ambiguity; only one cyclobutane can result.
Such is not the case for the photoaddition of isobutylene to enones 44, 46, and 47; two possible problems were anticipated. First, there is the problem of regiocontrol of cyclobutane formation (i.e., head to head vs. head to tail). Second, in the case of 44 and 47, there is the question of the stereochemical relationship of the ester (or methyl) substituent to the cyclobutyl ring.
With regard to regioselectivity, the classic study of Corey ${ }^{24}$ demonstrated that the regiochemistry of olefin additions is governed by a combination of electronic and steric factors. Allene, for example, was shown to give only head-to-head product, whereas isobutylene gave different products depending on the enone substitution pattern.
The stereochemical question has been addressed by Wiesner, ${ }^{25}$ who developed a predictive rule based on the [ $2+2$ ]-photo-

## Scheme VI


(23) In contrast, hydrolysis of Diels-Alder adducts derived from trans-1-methoxy-3-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]-1,3-butadiene requires aqueous acid which results in double bond isomerization in certain cases. See: Danishefsky, S.; Kitahara, T.: Yan. C. F.; Morris, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101. 6996-7000.
(24) Corey, E. J.: Bass, J. D.: LeMahieu, R.; Mitra, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 5570-5583.
(25) (a) Wiesner, K. Tetrahedron 1975, 31. 1655-1658. (b) MariniBettolo, G.; Sahov, S. P.; Poulton, G. A.: Tsai, T. Y. R.: Wiesner, K. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 719-721.
cycloaddition of allene to enones. The Wiesner mnemonic implies that since the $\beta$-carbon of the enone system in the excited state has increased electron density, ${ }^{26}$ the position is pyramidalized prior to cycloaddition and as a result assumes the most stable conformation. It is this conformation that reacts with the allene to give the observed products.

Irradiation of a hexane solution of enone 44 and allene at -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded, as expected, the head-to-head product 49 as a $13: 1$ mixture of ester isomers (Scheme VI). Single-crystal X-ray analysis confirmed the syn stereochemistry of the ester group in the major isomer (49a), consistent with the Wiesner hypothesis. When isobutylene was substituted for allene in the above reaction, adducts $\mathbf{5 0}$ and $\mathbf{5 1}$ were obtained as a $2: 1$ mixture. For preparative purposes pure 50 could be obtained by recrystallization. Furthermore, treatment of the reaction mixture with $\mathrm{NaOCH}_{3}$ / $\mathrm{HOCH}_{3}$ gave a new mixture enriched in the anti isomer 51 (5:1), from which pure 51 could be obtained by crystallization. That the major isomer was the syn compound derived from the interconversion of 49 a to 50 . Toward this end, reduction of 49a with sodium borohydride, followed by cyclopropanation and oxidation using the procedure of Swern, ${ }^{27}$ afforded ketone 52. Hydrogenolysis (which resulted in cyclopropane ring cleavage and ketone reduction) followed by oxidation gave 50, identical with the sample isolated from the isobutylene photoreaction. ${ }^{28}$

Reaction of enone 46 with isobutylene under similar conditions afforded 53 as a single compound in $41 \%$ yield. In a like manner,

enone 47 gave a $10: 1$ mixture of isomeric propellanones ( $56 \%$ ), the major isomer (54) having the syn relationship of the cyclobutane ring to the methyl substituent. Regio- and stereochemical assignments here were based on analogy with that observed for 44, in conjunction with rigorous assignment of the subsequent rearrangement products. Thus, isobutylene is seen to add in a head-to-head fashion to the enones 44,46 , and 47 . Furthermore, the stereoselectivity is always in favor of the syn compound as predicted by the Wiesner model; the degree of the selectivity, however, varies considerably.

Preparation of the Rearrangement Substrates: An Exercise in Functional Group Manipulation. With propellanones 48, 50, 51, 53 , and 54 in hand, we turned to the functional group manipulations required to generate the rearrangement substrates. Toward this end, ketone 48 was reduced with sodium borohydride in


[^7]

Scheme VII

53. 19, 36, 27: $R=H$

54, 20, 37, 28: $R=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
ethanol to give a mixture of diols 9 and 10 , which were separable by medium-pressure liquid chromatography. The major isomer was assigned structure 10 on the basis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonance for the axial methine proton ( $\delta 3.58$ ), which appeared upfield by 0.5 ppm from the corresponding resonance in the minor isomer 9, ${ }^{29}$ and the expected approach of hydride reducing agents from the least hindered side of the molecule (i.e., anti to the cyclobutyl ring). ${ }^{30}$ Olefin 11, on the other hand, was prepared from ketone 48 via the Ireland protocol. ${ }^{31}$ The basic conditions employed for both the preparation of the enol phosphate derivative and its reduction were anticipated to ensure that the propellane framework remained intact.
Reduction of ketones $\mathbf{5 3}$ and $\mathbf{5 4}$ with lithium aluminum hydride afforded alcohols 19 and 20, respectively, with no trace of the isomeric anti alcohols (Scheme VII). Undoubtedly, the stereoselectivity of this reaction derives from the steric influence of the methyl substituent on the cyclobutane ring that blocks approach from the top face of the molecule.

Application of the Ireland procedure ${ }^{31}$ to ketones 53 and 54 gave the corresponding olefins 36 and 37 in $88 \%$ and $85 \%$ yield, respectively. These olefins, in turn, were used in the synthesis of the anti alcohols 27 and 28. Treatment of 36 and 37 with $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of solid sodium bicarbonate gave, in each case, only the anti epoxide (again due to the steric influence of the cyclobutyl methyl group). Reduction with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ in THF at reflux afforded the anti alcohols 27 and 28, respectively, free from the corresponding syn isomers.
The protocols developed for ketones 53 and 54 were also employed (with only minor modification) for the preparation of propellanes 21-22, 29-30, and 38-39 (see Experimental Section for details).
Structure Determination: A Testimony to X-ray Crystallography. Since the rearrangement products obtained in this study were architecturally complex, we felt that structure determination could not be achieved by spectroscopic analysis alone. Therefore, the majority of the structural proofs were based either on sin-gle-crystal X-ray analysis or chemical correlation with known compounds. ${ }^{32}$

In Table I, column 3 indicates that, except for 24, all structures in this series were determined by X-ray analysis. The identity

## (29) See ref 20. p 239.

(30) Tobe, Y.; Doi, A.; Kimura. K.: Odaira, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1979, 52, 639-640.
(31) (a) Ireland, R. E.; Pfister. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 2145-2148. (b) Ireland, R. E.; Muchmore, D. C.; Hengartner, U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 5098-5100.
(32) A full account of the X-ray crystallographic analysis of compounds 14. 22, 23, 26, 29. 30, 49a, and 67 will be forthcoming; unpublished results of P. Carroll, Director, University of Pennsylvania, X-ray crystallographic faculty. For review purposes, cell parameters, atomic coordinates, and refined temperature factor expressions were provided.


of 24 was established by chemical correlation with 25 . That is, treatment of $\mathbf{2 5}$ with methanesulfonyl chloride followed by reduction of the primary mesylate with lithium triethyl borohydride afforded 24.

The structures of compounds $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ were also assigned by chemical transformation (Scheme VIII). Compound 12 was treated with phosphorus oxychloride in pyridine to give a single olefin assigned structure 57. ${ }^{33}$ Hydrogenation with palladium on carbon afforded undecane 58. The latter was also obtained from 14 (the structure of which was determined by X-ray analysis) by treatment with thionyl chloride in dimethylformamide (to give the bridgehead chloride 59) followed by reduction with lithium metal. Finally, alcohol 13, having the isocomene ring system, was dehydrated with phosphorus oxychloride to afford a mixture of olefins ( $\mathbf{6 0}$ ) that was hydrogenated to the known undecane $\mathbf{6 1} .^{34}$

In Table II, propellane 32 was identified via a combination of spectroscopic and chemical methods. Specifically, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 32, which contains resonances for two $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbons and two quaternary carbons, displayed a total of only ten resonances, even though high-resolution mass spectrometry clearly demonstrated the molecular formula to be $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20}$. This simplification in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR stems from a symmetry plane that bisects the cyclopentene ring. Treatment of $\mathbf{3 2}$ with ozone followed by sodium sulfite workup affords a new compound (62) that

contains two methyl ketones, one of which is bonded to a quaternary carbon while the other is bonded to a methylene group that displays as a singlet ( $\delta 2.75$ ) in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. Such data, in conjunction with a rigorous structure proof for 33 (vide infra), are compatible only with structure 32.

Turning to propellane 33, we relied on alternate synthesis. Toward this end, irradiation of enone 47 in the presence of allene led to a $7: 1$ mixture of tricyclic ketone 63 and its methyl epimer. Pure 63 was hydrogenated and then subjected to the acid-catalyzed Cargill rearrangement. As expected,' propellanone 64 was formed in $76 \%$ yield. Wittig olefination followed by isomerization of the double bond into the cyclopentane ring afforded 33, identical in all respects with the product obtained from 28.

[^8]



Finally, tetracyclic ether 34 , the only product obtained in the rearrangement of both diol 29 and olefin 38, was chemically modified to enable the preparation of a crystalline derivative. Toward this end, ruthenium tetraoxide mediated oxidation ${ }^{35}$ afforded lactone 65 which, when reduced with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, was con-

verted to diol 66. Treatment of the latter with $p$-bromophenyl isocyante afforded the crystalline $p$-bromourethane 67 , which was shown via simple-crystal X-ray analysis to have the structure shown.

## Experimental Section

Materials and Equipment. All solvents used were reagent grade and were distilled prior to use. The zinc copper couple was prepared from zinc powder and aqueous copper sulfate according to the procedure of Shank. ${ }^{36}$ All VPC separations were achieved by using a Varian Aerograph Model 920 gas chromatograph fitted with one of the following columns: column A, $12.5 \%$ QF-96, $10 \mathrm{ft} \times 1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$.; column B, $25 \%$ Carbowax $20 \mathrm{M}, 20 \mathrm{ft} \times 1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$.; column C; $12.5 \% \mathrm{SE}-10,20 \mathrm{ft} \times 1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. The column support employed was Chromosorb W BW 60/30. The helium carrier gas flow was $60 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, and the oven temperature was as stated in the text. The photolysis apparatus, as described by Cargill, ${ }^{37}$ employed a $1000-$ W mercury lamp equipped with a G.E. ballast 35 , $9627-6009$ power source. Precoated silica gel plates ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a fluorescent indicator, obtained from Merck, were used for both analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and preparative thin-layer chromatography. Silica gel 60 (particle size $0.04-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) obtained from Merck was employed for flash chromatography and medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC). High-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed on either a Waters Associates Prep LC/System 500 using silica gel columns or a Waters Associates analytical system using a $33 \mathrm{~cm} \times 7 \mathrm{~mm}$ column packed with $\mu$ Porasil. Melting points were obtained by using a Thomas-Hoover instrument and are uncorrected. Boiling points are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Model 337 spectrophotometer. Proton NMR were obtained on either a Varian Model T-60A ( 60 MHz ) or a Bruker WP-250 FT ( 250 MHz ). Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ values in parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane ( $\delta \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 0.0$ ). Carbon NMR were obtained on the Bruker WP-250 FT ( 62.9 MHz ) or on a Bruker WP-200SY ( 50.327 MHz ). Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ values in parts per million relative to chloroform ( $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} 77.0$ ).
(35) Smith, A. B.; Scarborough, R. M. Synth. Commun. 1980, 10 205-211.
(36) Shank, R. S.; Schecter, H. J. Org. Chem. 1959, 24, 1825-1826.
(37) Cargill, R. L.: Dalton, J. R.; Murton, G. H.; Caldwell, W. E. Org. Synth. 1984, 118-124.
(38) The numbering system used for fused pentalenes in this paper follows that of the unfused pentalenes. Compound 13 , using systematic numbering, would be named ( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 5 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 8 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-1,2,3,3a,4,5,5a,6,7,8-dodecahydro-5ahydroxycyclopenta[c]pentalene.

Rearrangement Reactions: General Procedure. Three sets of acid/ solvent mixtures were employed in the present study: (A) $40 \%$ sulfuric acid in THF, (B) methanesulfonic acid in benzene, and (C) formic acid. The reactions were run as follows: a solution of the substrate in the appropriate solvent/acid mixture was allowed to react under the indicated conditions of time and temperature. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction solution was diluted with ether and water, and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with water and brine and dried with magnesium sulfate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded the crude product mixture.
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-3a-Hydroxy-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (12) and ( $3 R^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 6 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-3-Hydroxycyclopenta[c]perhydropentalene (13). ${ }^{38} \mathrm{~A}$ solution of alcohol $9(970 \mathrm{mg}, 5.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran and 6.0 mL of $40 \%$ sulfuric acid was stirred at room temperature for 3 days. Normal workup gave 993 mg of a gummy oil, which was a mixture of three compounds. Flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ ether, hexane (v/v)) gave $263 \mathrm{mg}(27 \%)$ of 12 and $123 \mathrm{mg}(12.6 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 3}$ as well as 393 mg of recovered starting material. Increased reaction temperatures and reaction time resulted in isolation of products containing a higher ratio of alcohol 13. At $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h only 13 was isolated in good yields.

The alcohol 13 had the following spectral data: $\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3600(\mathrm{~m})$, 3325-3525 (m). 2950 (br s), 2850 (s), $1445(\mathrm{~m}), 1240(\mathrm{~m}), 910(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.14-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 89.792,62.18,52.21,41.80,41.15$, $40.48,35.27,34.12,30.01,27.24,23.48$; mass spectrum, $m / e 166.1351$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}, 166.1358$ ).

The alcohol $\mathbf{1 2}$ had the following spectral data: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3615(\mathrm{~m})$, 2975 (s), 2860 (s), $1450(\mathrm{~m}), 1150(\mathrm{~m}), 1005(\mathrm{~m}), 910(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.14-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 86.88,50.30$, $41.39,36.01,33.60,22.18,31.30,26.74,24.71,20.39,17.69$; mass spectrum, $m / e 166.1354\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}, 166.1358$ ).

Resubmitting purified alcohol 12 to the same acid conditions at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded alcohol 13 as the only observed product.
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-4-Hydroxy-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (14). A solution of alcohol $10(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 3 mL of THF and 5 mL of $40 \%$ sulfuric acid was warmed to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Normal workup afforded 43 mg ( $93 \%$ ) of 14 as a crystalline white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 74.5-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3600(\mathrm{~m}), 3150-3525(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 2840-2925(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1450(\mathrm{~m}), 1320$ $(\mathrm{m}), 1140(\mathrm{~m}), 1060(\mathrm{~m}), 910(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.14-2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 81.50,60.41$, $52.74,40.89,37.18,36.68,34.65,31.48,25.07,22.51,21.30$; mass spectrum, $m / e 166.1351\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}, 166.1358$ ).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 79.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.91$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 79.18 ; \mathrm{H}$, 10.95 .
( $3 R^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 6 \mathrm{aS}{ }^{*}$ )-3-Hydroxycyclopenta[c]perhydropentalene (13). Olefin $11(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 0.3 mL of tetrahydrofuran and 0.5 mL of $40 \%$ sulfuric acid was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Standard workup followed by preparative vapor-phase chromatography (column C, 155 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) afforded 4 mg of 13 , identical in all respects with the previously isolated material.
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-4-Hydroxy-9,9-dimethyl-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (23). A solution of the alcohol 19 ( 53 mg .0 .27 mmol ) in THF ( 6 mL ) containing $40 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane ( $1: 10$ ) as the eluting solvent to give 45 mg ( $85 \%$ ) of pure 23 as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3610,2940,2870,1450,1125,1050$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.97$ $(\mathrm{m}, 16 \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.51,24.12,24.20,25.95$, $28.41,38.26,38.43,39.91,42.21,49.98,50.24,62.62,82.90$; mass spectrum, $m / e 194.1632\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, caled for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}$, 194.1671).
$\left(1 R^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}\right)$-4-Hydroxy-1,9,9-trimethyl-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (24). A solution of the alcohol 20 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 60 mL ) containing $40 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . After workup, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane ( $1: 10$ ) as the eluting solvent to give $43 \mathrm{mg}(86 \%)$ of pure 24 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 55-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ $3600,2950,2860,1060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C} .50 .327 \mathrm{MHz} . \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.93,21.33,23.78,24.14,28.11,32.48$, 37.10, 40.05, 41.62, 42.76, 42.95, 52.33, 62.90, 82.24: mass spectrum, $m / e 208.1805\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}, 208.1827\right)$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 80.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.61$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 80.87 ; \mathrm{H}$, 11.80 .
( $1 R^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-hydroxy-9,9-dimethyl-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (25). A solution of cis diol 21 ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94$ mmol ) in 12 mL of tetrahydrofuran containing 36 mL of $40 \%$ sulfuric acid was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Normal workup, followed by removal of the solvent in vacuo, gave 200 mg of a mobile clear oil. Purification via MPLC afforded 192 mg (89\%) of $\mathbf{2 5}$ as a white crystalline
solid: mp 132-133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) 3325-3440 (br s), 2840-2950 (br s), $1450(\mathrm{~m}), 1360(\mathrm{~m}), 1300(\mathrm{~m}), 1110(\mathrm{~s}), 1050(\mathrm{~m}), 1030(\mathrm{~s}), 1000(\mathrm{~m})$, $980(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.0(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ (dd, $J=5.9,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / e 224.1770$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 224.1776$ ).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 74.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.78$. Found: C. 74.55 ; H, 10.68 .
( $1 S^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-1-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-hydroxy-9,9-dimethyl-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (26). A solution of diol 22 (144 mg, 0.64 mmol ) in 3 mL of tetrahydrofuran containing 9 mL of $40 \%$ sulfuric acid was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Standard workup afforded 140 mg of a white solid. Crystallization of the solid with ether afforded 120 mg ( $83 \%$ ) of 26 as a white crystalline solid: $\mathrm{mp} 106-107^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 3605 (m), 3525-3260 (br w), 2950 (s), 2925 (s), 2870 (m), 1450 (m), $1380(\mathrm{w}), 1360(\mathrm{w}), 1110(\mathrm{~m}), 1070(\mathrm{~m}), 1055(\mathrm{~m}), 1015(\mathrm{~m}), 905(\mathrm{~m})$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-\mathrm{l} .72$ $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82$ (dd, $J=5.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, $74.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.78$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 75.09$; H, 10.82 .
( $3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-4-Hydroxy-9,9-dimethyl-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (31). (A) A solution of alcohol $27(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $40 \%$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing THF ( 2 mL ) was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The crude reaction mixture obtained from normal workup was passed through a short column of silica gel. The solvent was distilled off carefully to give 34 mg ( $85 \%$ ) of pure 31 as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3600$, $2940,2860,1450,1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.95$ (dd. $J$ $=6.4,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-\mathrm{l} .74$ (comp, 12 $\mathrm{H}), 2.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\right.$; NMR ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.7$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.34,20.32,22.54,26.71,29.08,29.14,29.91,35.26$, $46.75,47.39,49.22,56.71,77.83$; mass spectrum, $m / e 194.1647\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}, 194.1671$ ).
(B) A solution of alcohol $27(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in formic acid ( 20 mL ) was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The resulting solution was diluted with pentane and water, and the layers were separated. Solid sodium carbonate was added to the organic layer. Filtration was followed by careful removal of the solvent by distillation. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (heptane/ether 20:1-5:1) to give 31 ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ) and the formate ester of $31(10 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%)$, which was hydrolyzed to 31 by treatment with 4 N sodium hydroxide ( 0.5 mL ) in THF ( 2 mL ) at room temperature for 4 h .
( $3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-4-Hydroxy-9,9-dimethyl-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (31) and 3,3a,4,5,6,6a-Hexahydro-1,2-dimethyl-3a,6a-propanopentalene (32). A solution of alcohol $27(35 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2 mL of benzene was treated with methanesulfonic acid ( 2 drops) and heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Standard workup, followed by removal of solvent by careful distillation, afforded 25 mg of crude material. Separation of the products by flash chromatography (pentane followed by hexane/ethylacetate ( $18: 1$ ) afforded olefin $32(8 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%)$ and alcohol $31(10 \mathrm{mg}$, $29 \%$ ), both as colorless oils. Alcohol 31 was identical with the sample previously isolated. Olefin 32 had the following spectral properties: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2930,2850,1440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}: \operatorname{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.52(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.56$ (comp, 12 H ), 2.15 (br s, 2 H ); NMR ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.15,13.87,25.89,37.95,41.74,53.48,57.62,71.80,128.62$, 135.07; mass spectrum, $m / e 176.1572\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20}, 176.1565\right)$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20}: \mathrm{C}, 88.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.44$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 88.36: \mathrm{H}$. 11.62.
(3aS ${ }^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 6 a S^{*}$ )-3,3a,4,5,6,6a-Hexahydro-1,2,4-trimethyl-3a,6apropanopentalene (33). To a solution of the alcohol 28 ( 110 mg .0 .53 mmols) in benzene ( 5 mL ) was added methanesulfonic acid ( 2 drops), and the mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The product obtained from standard workup was purified by flash chromatography (pentane) to give $92 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%)$ of the olefin 33 as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2940$. $2860,1590,1420 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}_{1}\right.$ $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-1.80(\mathrm{comp}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$. $J=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{NMR}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.72,13.92,14.48$, $25.80,34.36,36.57,38.80,40.54,43.68,45.01,60.65,72.09,128.64$, 135.14; mass spectrum, $m / e 190.1721\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22}, 190.1722\right)$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} ; \mathrm{C}, 88.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.65$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 88.23$ : H , 11.56.

Treatment of olefin $37(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 10 mL ) with methanesulfonic acid ( 5 drops) at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h gave, after workup, 290 $\mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$ of 33 , identical with the above material.
( $\left.1 S^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}\right)$-1-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-hydroxy-9,9-dimethyl-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (35). A solution of cis diol $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ mmol) in 1 mL of tetrahydrofuran containing 2 mL of $40 \%$ sulfuric acid was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . Standard workup gave 59 mg of a white oily solid. Crystallization with ether afforded 33 mg ( $83 \%$ ) of a white crystalline solid (35): mp $170-170.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3600$ (w),

3125-3520 (br m), 2950 (br s), 2860 (m), 1450 (m), 1430 (m), 1375 (w), $1280(\mathrm{~m}), 1055(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.1-1.26,1.16$, $1.20(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.30$ (m, 1 H), 2.44 (dd, $J=9,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 224.1793\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 224.1777$ ).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 74.95; H, 10.78. Found: C, 74.94; H, 10.84 .

3,3a,4,5,6,6a-Hexahydro-1,2-dimethyl-3a,6a-propanopentalene (32). To a solution of the olefin $36(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 2 mL ) was added methanesulfonic acid (1 drop). The resulting solution was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . Normal workup, followed by removal of solvent by careful distillation, afforded the crude product, which was purified by VPC (column A) to give $17 \mathrm{mg}(34 \%)$ of pure 32 as a colorless oil, identical with the sample previously prepared.
(2aR *, 2b $S^{*}, 2 \mathrm{c} S^{*}, 4 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-3,3-Dimethyl-2a,2c-ethanoperhydropentaleno[ $3 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}]$ perhydrofuran (34). A solution of olefin 38 ( 65 mg , 0.32 mmol ) in 6 mL of tetrahydrofuran and 4 mL of $40 \%$ sulfuric acid was warmed to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Standard workup gave 65 mg of mobile oil, which was purified by flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )) to give $55 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ of ether 34 as a clear oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ 2825-2960 (br s), 1400 (m), 1375 (w), 1350 (m), 1300 (w), 1275 (m), $1085(\mathrm{~m}), 1070(\mathrm{~m}), 1055(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.05$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.0-2.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02$ (dd, $J$ $=8.3,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 206.1696\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}, 206.1681$ ).

Treatment of diol 29 ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 1 mL ) with 1 mL of $40 \%$ sulfuric acid at room temperature for 36 h afforded, after workup, $3 \mathrm{mg}(60 \%)$ of 34 , identical with the above material.

Methyl 2,3,4,5,6,7-Hexahydro-7-oxo- 1 H -indene-4-carboxylate (44). Methyl acrylate ( $40 \mathrm{~g}, 158 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of diene 43 ( $19.8 \mathrm{~g}, 78.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 100 mL of anhydrous benzene, and the solution was warmed to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was cooled in a dry ice/acetone bath, and an excess of methyl iodide ( $55.9 \mathrm{~g}, 396 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was introduced in one portion. The solution was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and washed quickly with cold $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid, followed by washing with water and drying over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Concentration in vacuo gave a red oil, which was purified by flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )) to afford $7.0 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%)$ of 44 as a colorless oil: IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 2975-2840 (br s), 1725 (s), 1650 (s), 1435 (m), 1375 (m), $1330(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1200(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1100(\mathrm{~m}), 1040(\mathrm{~m}), 1000(\mathrm{~m}), 955(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.78-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.44-2.88 (m, 5 H$), 3.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e$ $194.0946\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, caled for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 194.0943\right)$.

Methyl 2,3,4,5,6,7-Hexahydro-4-methyl-7-oxo-1 H -indene-4carboxylate (45). A solution of diene $43(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ and methyl methacrylate ( $790 \mathrm{mg}, 7.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 5 mL ) was heated to reflux for 36 h . Workup of the reaction as in the synthesis of enone 44 afforded a red oil, which was purified by flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ ether, hexane (v/v) to give 530 mg ( $63 \%$ ) of 45 as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 3000-2800 (br s), 1745 (s), 1675 (s), 1450 (m), 1390 (m), 1260 (br), $1100(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 208.1099\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, caled for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 208.1095$ ).
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-4-Oxo-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (48). A degassed solution of enone $46(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 25.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2.3 L of methylene chloride was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and saturated with ethylene by bubbling the gas into the solution at a rate of $25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ for 2 h . The solution was irradiated at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ through a Pyrex filter for 17 h . The progress of the reaction was monitored by VPC column $\mathrm{C}, 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded 4.2 g of a crude oil. Flash chromatography gave 3.63 g ( $86 \%$ ) of tricyclic ketone 48: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3075$ (w), 2950-3045 (s), 2925 (m), $1690(\mathrm{~m}), 1435(\mathrm{~m}), 1240(\mathrm{w}), 1220(\mathrm{~m}), 1160$ (m), $920(\mathrm{~m}), 890(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.34-1.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 216.19,54.89,48.39,40.54,38.21,34.71,34.21,26.83,25.66$, 24.16, 20.13; mass spectrum, $m / e 164.1200\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$, 164.1199).
(3aS*,7aS*)-Methyl 8-Methylene-7-oxo-3a,7a-ethanoperhydro-indene-4-carboxylate (49a, 49b). A degassed solution consisting of enone $44(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 20.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and allene ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 205 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2 L of distilled hexane was cooled to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and irradiated for 10 h through a Pyrex filter. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded a white oil solid, which was crystallized from a $50 \%$ ether/hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) solution to afford 3.8 $\mathrm{g}(80 \%)$ of a white crystalline solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 79-83^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, mixture of epimers). A second recrystallization from ether gave $3.5 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$ of the $\beta$-epimer 49a (mp 98-99 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) along with 275 mg (6\%) of the minor $\alpha$-epimer 49b as a clear waxy oil. The ratio of 49a to 49 b was 12.7 :1.

The major epimer 49a had the following spectral properties: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2950(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 2855(\mathrm{~m}), 1725(\mathrm{~s}), 1695(\mathrm{~s}), 1445(\mathrm{~m}), 1365(\mathrm{w})$, $1325(\mathrm{~m}), 1325(\mathrm{~m}), 1175(\mathrm{~m}), 915(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.4-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-3.0$ (m, 1 H$), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{l}$ H ); mass spectrum, $m / e 234.1262\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 234.1256\right)$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 71.77 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.74$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 71.82 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.84.

The minor epimer 49b had the following spectral data: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 2950 (br s), 2875 (m), 1725 (s), 1695 (s), 1445 (w), 1375 (w), 1170 (br $\mathrm{m}), 910(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.48-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $2.08-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.94(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{l} \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 234.1241\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 234.1256$ ).
(3aS*,7aS*)-Methyl 8,8-Dimethyl-7-oxo-3a,7a-ethanoperhydro-indene-4-carboxylate (50,51). A degassed solution containing enone 44 $(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 30.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1.75 L of distilled hexane was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Isobutylene was bubbled into the solution at a rate of approximately 30 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ for 2 h . The reactants were irradiated through a Pyrex filter for 8 to 10 h . The reaction was monitored either by thin-layer chromatography ( $50 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )) or by vapor-phase chromatography (VPC) (column A, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded 7.3 g of a pale yellow oil, which was purified by flash chromatography to give $5.7 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$ of a $2: 1$ mixture of epimers 50 and 51 as a clear oil that crystallized on standing. Recrystallization of the mixture from ether/hexane afford pure 50: mp $52-53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2950$ (br s), 2870 (m), 1725 (s), 1695 (s), $1440(\mathrm{~m}), 1360(\mathrm{~m}), 1165(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 900$ $(\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.44-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.59$ (m, 2 H ), $3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 50.327 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 214.36$, $173.67,61.56,51.24,49.14,44.88,43.07,40.09,39.04,34.29,32.38$, $27.78,25.58,25.00,23.82$; mass spectrum, $m / e 250.1561$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 250.1569$ ).

A solution of 415 mg of the above mixture of ester epimers in methanol was added to a stirred solution of 25 mL of methanol in which 100 mg of sodium had been dissolved. The resulting solution was allowed to stir at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a blanket of argon for 3 days. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool, neutralized by the addition of 1 N HCl , and evaporated to dryness. The resulting oil was diluted with water and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded 350 mg ( $84 \%$ ) of a mixture of epimers $\mathbf{5 0}$ and $\mathbf{5 1}$ (ca. 1:5 $\mathbf{5 0}$ to $\mathbf{5 1}$ by NMR analysis) as a white solid. Recrystallization ( $2 x$ ) from ether/hexane afforded pure 51: mp $48-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2950$ (br s), $2870(\mathrm{~m})$, 1725 (s), 1685 (s), $1440(\mathrm{~m}), 1360(\mathrm{~m}), 1165(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 900(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-2.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $11 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.0(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.327 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 214.10,173.89,60.90,51.20$, $49.09,45.39,44.21,39.87,35.76,33.52,33.22,29.59,25.07,23.93,20.73$; mass spectrum, $m / e 250.1574$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, caled for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 250.1569$ ).
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S, 7 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-Methyl 8-Methylene-7-hydroxy-3a,7a-ethanoper-hydroindene-4-carboxylate (49c). To a stirring solution of ketone 49a ( $623 \mathrm{mg}, 2.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of absolute ethanol cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added sodium borohydride ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 1 h , and then quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The solution was diluted with ether and separated. The organic layer was washed once with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride, and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded $616 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$ of 49 c as an oil, which was a mixture of epimers: IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 3275-3625 (br s), 2850-3060 (br s), 1720 (s), 1660 (m), $1440(\mathrm{~m}), 1355(\mathrm{~m}), 1040(\mathrm{~s}), 895(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR $(60 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.16-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-5.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 236.1440\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 236.1413\right)$.

Methyl Hexahydro-7'-hydroxyspiro[cyclopropane-1,8'-[3a,7a]ethanol[ $\mathbf{1 H}$ ]indene]-4'-carboxylate (49d). To a stirred solution of ZnCu couple ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 295 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 3 mL of anhydrous ether was added freshly distilled diodomethane ( $7.9 \mathrm{~g}, 29.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2 mL of ether. The solution was warmed to reflux with vigorous stirring. After approximately 30 min the gray suspension began to turn black, at which time olefin $49 \mathrm{c}(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 9.82$ mmol ) in 1 mL of ether was introduced to the solution. The reaction was continued for 30 min at the reflux point and then was quickly cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and carefully quenched with sodium sulfate decahydrate. The salts were removed by filtration and rinsed thoroughly with ether. The filtrate was washed with $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave 7.1 g of a red oil. Flash chromatography ( $30 \%$ ether, hexane (v/v)) afforded 1.07 g ( $44 \%$ ) of 49 d as a clear oil consisting of a mixture of epimers, which were not separated: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3600(\mathrm{~m}), 3450-3560(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 2860-3050(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}), 1720$ (s), 1445 (m), 1350 (m), 1300 (m), 1230 (br s), 1170 (s), 1140
(m), $1050(\mathrm{w}), 1020(\mathrm{~m}), 945(\mathrm{~m}), 720-700(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 665(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.20-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Methyl Hexahydro-7'-oxospiro[cyclopropane-1,8'-[3a,7a]ethano[1H]-indene]-4'-carboxylate (52). To a stirring solution of oxalyl chloride ( 15 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of methylene chloride cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise dimethyl sulfoxide ( $295 \mathrm{mg}, 3.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 3 mL of methylene chloride. After the mixture was stirred for 5 min at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cyclopropyl alcohol 49 d ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 1 mL of methylene chloride was added. After this mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, triethylamine ( $954 \mathrm{mg}, 9.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the solution was warmed to room temperature. The reaction mixture was washed twice with brine and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded 96 mg of a pale yellow oil. Preparative thin-layer chromatography ( $50 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) ) afforded 69 mg (78\%) of ketone 52: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2995$ (m), 2950 (s), 2900 (m), 2855 (m), 1725 (s), 1695 (s), 1425 (m), $1350(\mathrm{~m}), 1305(\mathrm{~m}), 1275$ (m), 1235 (s), 1165 (br s), $915(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $0.32-0.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 248.1405\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 248.1413\right)$.
(3aS*,4S*,7aS*)-Methyl 8,8-Dimethyl-7-oxo-3a,7a-ethanoperhydro-indene-4-carboxylate (50). To a Parr hydrogenator bottle containing platinum oxide ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added cyclopropane 52 ( 1.0 g , 4.03 mmol ) in 40 mL of acetic acid. The apparatus was evacuated with a water aspirator and then pressurized to 3.5 atm with hydrogen. After the mixture was shaken for 24 h at room temperature, the pressure was released, and the reaction mixture was diluted with ether. The platinum was removed by filtering the solution through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was washed twice with water and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded $446 \mathrm{mg}(44 \%)$ of the hydrogenolysis product where concomitant reduction of the ketone had occurred. A solution of this alcohol ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of methylene chloride was stirred with pyridinium chlorochromate ( 12.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 3 h . The solution was diluted with ether, and the chromate salts were removed by filtering the mixture through a pad of Celite. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded a gummy oil. Flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ ether, hexane ( $v / \mathrm{v}$ )) gave 61 mg ( $81 \%$ ) of ketone 50 , identical with the major isomer obtained from irradition of enone 44 with isobutylene.
(3aS*,7aS*)-7-0xo-8,8-dimethyl-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (53). A solution of the enone $46(9.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.07 \mathrm{~mol})$ in hexane ( 2.5 L ) was cooled to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was saturated with isobutylene. The mixture was photolyzed for 8 h through a Pyrex filter at ca. $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then was allowed to warm to room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by preparative HPLC using ethyl acetate/hexane $(1: 10)$ as the eluting solvent to give $5.5 \mathrm{~g}(41 \%)$ of the desired ketone 53 as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 2945, 2850, 1675, $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.91$ (comp, 9 H ), 2.04 (m, l H), $2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19$ (m, I H), $2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 192.1540$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}, 192.1514$ ).
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-7-0x0-4,8,8-trimethyl-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (54). A solution of the enone $47(6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{~mol})$ in hexane ( 2.5 L ) was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and saturated with isobutylene. The resulting solution was photolyzed for 8 h (Pyrex filter) at ca. $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was then allowed to warm to room temperature. The solvent was removed at reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by preparative HPLC using ethyl acetate/hexane ( $1 ; 9$ ) as the eluting solvent to give 4.77 $\mathrm{g}(56 \%)$ of a $10: 1$ mixture of the desired ketone 54 and its isomer at C-4. A pure sample of 54 could be obtained via $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction of the ketone mixture to the corresponding alcohols followed by HPLC separation and oxidation of the requisite alcohol. Pure ketone 54 was isolated as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 59-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2940,2870,1670,1010,900$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-2.20(\mathrm{comp}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.43 (dd, $J=2.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ : mass spectrum,$m / e 206.1682\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}, 206.1670$ ).
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-4-Hydroxy-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (9,10). To a stirring solution of the ketone $48(175 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL of absolute ethanol was added sodium borohydride ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 23.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in one portion. After the mixture was stirred 1 h at room temperature the reaction was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted twice with ether. The combined organics were washed once with brine and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave 179 mg of a clear oil as a mixture of epimers. MPLC ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) ) gave 132 mg ( $69 \%$ ) of the major epimer 10 and 35 mg ( $18 \%$ ) of the minor compound 9 .

The major epimer 10 had the following spectral data: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3605$ (w), 3325-3525 (br m), 2920 (s) 2845 (m), 1445 (m), 1360 (w), 1250
(m), $1045(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.26-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H})$, $2.09-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58$ (dd, $J=5.5,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 74.56,49.00,47.65,40.06,32.39,28.71,27.45,24.89$, 19.98, 19.42.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}_{1} 79.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.91$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 79.18 ; \mathrm{H}$, 11.03.

The minor isomer 9 had the following spectral data: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3625$ (m), 3225-3525 (br m), 2950 (br s), 2875 (m), 1460 (m), 1275 (w), 1030 (m) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.20-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.04 (dd, $J=4.4,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 74.79,50.15$, $47.00,40.33,31.95,31.57,28.68,27.18,26.63,24.89,18.80$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 79.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.91$. Found: C, $79.48 ; \mathrm{H}$, 11.09.
(3aS*,7aR*)-Diethyl 2,3,3a,6,7,7a-Hexahydro-3a,7a-ethanoinden-4-yl Phosphate. A solution of lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) was generated by the addition of $n$-butyllithium ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to diisopropylamine ( $1.69 \mathrm{mg}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ketone 48 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52$ mmol ) in 3 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise. The enolate was allowed to form for 1 h and then trapped at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of diethyl chlorophosphate ( $289 \mathrm{mg}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was warmed to room temperature and quenched with saturated ammonium chloride. Ether was added, and the organic was separated from the aqueous layer and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo followed by flash chromatography ( $75 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) ) afforded 425 mg ( $93 \%$ ) of the phosphate ester: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2900-3025$ (br s), 2860 (s), 1675 (m), 1450 (m), 1400 (w), 1370 (m), 1290 (s), $1030-1060(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 980(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.44(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{dq}, J=7.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.75), 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 300.1525\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}, 300.1573$ ).
$\left(3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}\right)-2,3,3 \mathrm{a}, 4,5,7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Hexahydro}-3 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{a}$-ethanoindene (11). Lithium metal ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of ( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-dieth.yl $2,3,3 \mathrm{a}, 6,7,7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{hexahydro}-3 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{a}$-ethanoinden-4-yl phosphate ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL of methylamine and 2 mL of tert-butyl alcohol. The reaction was allowed to stir at the reflux point until all the blue color had discharged. The methylamine was allowed to evaporate, and the reaction mixture was diluted with ether. The solution was washed twice with saturated ammonium chloride and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Careful removal of the solvent gave 110 mg of crude product. Flash chromatography afforded $27 \mathrm{mg}(16 \%)$ of 11 as a volatile liquid: $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3075$ (m), 2950 (s), 2875 (s), 1445 $(\mathrm{m}), 1235(\mathrm{~m}), 1085(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 910(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 1.22-1.54 (m, 4 H ), $7.56-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.24$ (m, 2 H ), 5.83 (ddd, $J=3.7,5.1,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 148.1228\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16}$, 148.1252).
(3aS*,7R ${ }^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} \boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ )-7-Hydroxy-8,8-dimethyl-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (19). To a solution of the ketone $53(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(297 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 3 h and then the excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ was decomposed by the sequential addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3$ $\mathrm{mL}), 4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was removed by suction filtration and was washed with ether ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The filtrate was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC using ethyl acetate/hexane ( $1: 10$ ) as the eluting solvent to give $447 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of the pure 19 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 64-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3610,2940$, $2860,1440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), 1.13-1.90 (comp, 14 H ), 2.35 (m, 1 H), 3.61 (dd, $J=6.7,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ); mass spectrum, $m / e 194.1726\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}$, 194.1671).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 80.35$; $\mathrm{H}, 11.41$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 80.29 ; \mathrm{H}$, 11.44 .
(3a $R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-2,3,3a,4,5,7a-Hexahydro-8,8-dimethyl-3a,7a-ethanoindene (36). A solution of the ketone 53 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added slowly to a solution of LDA ( 7.81 mmol ) [generated from diisopropylamine ( 7.81 mmol ) and $n$-butyllithium ( 7.81 mmol ) in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was then stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h and quenched with diethyl chlorophosphate ( $1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 7.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another hour and then was allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with ether ( 15 mL ), washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude phosphate was dissolved in ethylamine ( 40 mL ), to which tert-butyl alcohol ( $4.4 \mathrm{~g}, 60$ mmol ) and lithium metal ( $426 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was allowed to reflux at room temperature for 3 h until no starting material was seen by TLC analysis. Sodium benzoate and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ were added, and the solvent was allowed to evaporate. Saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added, the resulting solution was extracted with ether $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was distilled off
carefully. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography using pentane as the eluting solvent to give $744 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$ of the olefin 36 as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2925,2840,1440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-1.93$ (comp. 10 H), $1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20}: \mathrm{C}, 88.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.44$. Found: C, 88.72; H, 11.62.
(3aS*,7S*,7aR *)-8,8-Dimethyl-7-hydroxy-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (27). To a solution of the olefin 36 ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(9 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(128 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid ( $258 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and then washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 10$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane ( $1: 20$ ) as the eluting solvent to give 182 mg ( $93 \%$ ) of pure anti epoxide as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2940,2870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\left.250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{l} \mathrm{H})$, 1.04 (s, 3 H ), 1.09 (s, 3 H ), 1.22-1.93 (comp, 9 H ), 2.05 (m, 2 H ), 3.02 (d, $J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 192.1532\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}, 192.1514$ ). To a solution of the epoxide ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97$ mmol ) in THF ( 15 mL ) was added $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(555 \mathrm{mg}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was heated to reflux for 60 h and then was allowed to cool to room temperature. The excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ was decomposed by the sequential addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}), 4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was removed by suction filtration and was then washed with ether $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (1:20) as the eluting solvent to give $135 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%)$ of the pure alcohol 27 as a white solid: mp $31-32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3590,2940,2850,1440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left.(250 \mathrm{MHz}), \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20$, 1.90 (comp, 14 H ), 4.34 (dd, $J=4.6,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / e$ 194.1639 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}, 194.1671\right)$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 80.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.41$. Found: C, 80.58; H, 11.61.
(3aS*,4S*, $7 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-7-Hydroxy-4,8,8-trimethyl-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (20). The reduction of ketone 54 with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ was accomplished in like manner to the transformation of $\mathbf{5 3}$ to $\mathbf{1 9}$, and afforded 20 in $89 \%$ yield as a clear oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3600,2940,2860,1050,1000$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), 1.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.07-1.87$ ( $\mathrm{comp}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.11 (m, 1 H), 2.27 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{l}$ H), $3.79\left(\mathrm{t}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ); mass spectrum, $m / e 208.1831$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}, 208.1827$ ).
Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 80.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.61$. Found: C, 80.56; H, 11.70.
(3aS,4S*,7aS*)-2,3,3a,4,5,7a-Hexahydro-4,8,8-trimethyl-3a,7aethanoindene (37). Formation of olefin 37 from ketone 54 via the Ireland protocol was accomplished as described for the converion of 53 and 36 . Enol phosphate: IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $2950,2860,1650,1040,980 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), $1.21-2.19$ (comp, 11 H$), 1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{br}$ d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 342.1967\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}, 342.1960$ ).

Compound 37 was obtained as a clear oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2950,2860$, $1650,1040,980 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ), 0.82 (s, 3 H ), 1.08 (s, 3 H ), 1.20-2.10 (comp, 11 H ), 5.62 (dd, $J$ $=2.9,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{l} \mathrm{H})$.
Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ : C, $88.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.65$. Found: C, $88.48 ; \mathrm{H}$, 11.61.
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{CS}^{*}$ )-7-Hydroxy-4,8,8-trimethyl-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (28). Transformation of olefin 37 to anti alcohol 28 followed from the procedure described for the formation of $\mathbf{2 7}$ from 36. Epoxide: IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $2950,2860 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.73$ (d, $J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.05 (s, 6 H ), $0.91-1.82$ (comp, 8 H ), 1.89 (m, 1 H ), 1.94 (m, l H), $1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ); mass spectrum, $m / e 206.1710$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}, 206.1670$ ).

Compound 28 was obtained as a colorless oil ( $58 \%$ ) from 37: IR 3600 , 2940, 2860, 1075, 1040, $1005 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( 250 MHz ) $\delta 0.76$ (d, $J=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.12 (s, 3 H ), 1.14 (s, 3 H ), 1.04-1.96 (comp, 14 H ), 4.12 (dd, $J=3.7,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 208.1800\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}, 208.1827$ )

Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 80.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.61$. Found: C, 80.56; H, 11.46.
( $3 \mathrm{aS}{ }^{*}, 7 \boldsymbol{R}^{*}, 7 \mathrm{aS} S^{*}$ )-8,8-Dimethyl-7-hydroxy-4-(hydroxymethyl-3a,7aethanoperhydroindene ( 21,22 ). The tricyclic ketones 50 and $51(250 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.0 mmol , mixture of isomers) dissolved in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran were added dropwise to a stirred solution of lithium aluminum hydride in 15 mL of tetrahydrofuran cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the mixture was stirred for 30 min the reaction was quenched with sodium sulfate deca-
hydrate. Removal of the salts by filtration and concentration in vacuo gave 194 mg ( $87 \%$ ) of a clear oil. Medium-pressure chromatography ( $50 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )) afforded a $2: 1$ mixture of the two epimers 21 and 22.

The major component (cis diol 21) had the following spectral data: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3600(\mathrm{~m}), 3300-3505(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 2975$ (m), 2925 (br s), 2855 (m), $1450(\mathrm{~m}), 1425(\mathrm{~m}), 1360(\mathrm{~m}), 1025(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( 250 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82-1.12,1.01(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.13-1.66,1.14(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.66-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64$ (dd, $J=5.0$, $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.79 (dd, $J=10.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 74.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.78$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 75.09$; H, 10.88 .

The minor component ( $\mathbf{2 2}, \mathrm{mp} 136-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) had the following spectral data: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3600(\mathrm{~m}), 3500-3350(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 2975$ (m), 2925 (br s), $2850(\mathrm{~m}), 1435$ (m), 1305 (w), 1300 (w), 1025 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR ( 250 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta 0.82-1.14,0.97(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.49-1.68,1.59(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.40-2.52 (m, I H), 3.44 (dd, $J=8.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.62-3.78$ (m, 2 H); mass spectrum, $m / e 224.1749$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 224.1776$ ).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 74.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.78$. Found: C, 74.93; H, 10.75.
(3aS*,4R*,7aS*)-2,3,4,5-Tetrahydro-8,8-dimethyl-3a,7a-ethano-1H-indene-4-methanol (39). Ketone 51 ( $780 \mathrm{mg}, 3.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transformed into the corresponding enol phosphate derivative via the procedure described for the synthesis of olefin 37. Flash chromatography afforded $1.02 \mathrm{~g}(85 \%)$ of the enol phosphate: NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 80.96$ (s, 3 H ), 1.25 (s, 3 H ), $1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.4 \mathrm{a} 2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{l}$ H), $3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

A solution of the enol phosphate ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was subjected to dissolving metal reduction as described in the procedure for olefin 37. The crude product ( 153 mg ) was purified by preparative TLC using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluting solvent to afford 16 mg ( $15 \%$ ) of olefin 39 as a clear oil: IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 3265 (m), 3200-3550 (br w), 2925 ( s br), 2850 $(\mathrm{m}), 1445(\mathrm{~m}), 1375(\mathrm{~m}), 1250(\mathrm{~m}), 1110(\mathrm{~s}), 1010(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 80.9(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.17-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.90(\mathrm{ml} 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.0-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44$ (dd, $J=10.6,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.162-5.7(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H), 5.76 (dd, $J=4.2,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / e 206.1657$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}, 206.1664$ ).

In like manner, ketone $\mathbf{5 0}$ was transformed into olefin 38: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 3620 (m), 3300-3525 (br m), 3000 (m), 2950 (br s), 2865 (m), 1450 (m), 1375 (m), $1370(\mathrm{w}), 1230(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 1115(\mathrm{~m}), 1020(\mathrm{~m}), 915(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-\mathrm{l} .54(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), $1.56-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26$ (dddd, $J=4.8,6.8$, $8.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.9,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{IH}), 5.8-5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e$ 206.1612 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, caled for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}, 206.1664$.
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 7 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-8,8-Dimethyl-7-hydroxy-4-(hydroxymethyl)-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (29). A stirred solution of olefin 38 ( 325 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 25 mL of methylene chloride was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodium bicarbonate ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid ( $341 \mathrm{mg}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added sequentially in one portion. The reaction was monitored by TLC ( $50 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )), and when approximately $75 \%$ of the starting material had reacted the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated sodium bicarbonate. The reaction mixture was diluted with methylene chloride and separated. The organic layer was washed twice with saturated bicarbonate and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave 427 mg . Flash chromatography ( $50 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), gradually increased to $100 \%$ ether) afforded 271 mg of isomerically pure epoxide: IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $3610(\mathrm{~m}), 3300-3525$ (br m), 2975 (s), 2950 (br m), $2860(\mathrm{~m})$, 1450 (w), 1420 (m), 1365 (w), 1305 (w), $1230(\mathrm{br}$ m), $1050(\mathrm{~m}), 1015$ (m), $980(\mathrm{w}), 855(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.17 (s, 3 H), $1.23-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.42$ (dddd, $J=$ $3.6,6.7,9.9,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e+1223.1685\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22}+\mathrm{I}, 223.1698\right)$.
To a stirred solution of the above epoxide ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 0.5 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added 1 mL of a stock solution of tetrahydrofuran saturated with lithium aluminum hydride. The reaction mixture was warmed to reflux overnight. After the reaction mixture cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, solid sodium sulfate decahydrate was added. The salts were removed by filtration and washed thoroughly with ether. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded 20 mg of a yellow oil. Preparative thin-layer chromatography ( $75 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) ) afforded 14 mg ( $57 \%$ ) of diol 29 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 121-123^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3605$ (m), 3225-3525 (br w), 2990 (m), 2925 (br s), 2850 (m), 1445 (m), 1360 (w), $1215(\mathrm{~m}), 1030(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.10-1.58$, $1.28(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~s}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.57,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 74.95; $\mathrm{H}, 10.78$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 75.01$; H, 10.79.
(3aS ${ }^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-2,3,3a,6,7,7a-Hexahydro-7-(hydroxy-methyl)-9,9-dimethyl-3a,7a-ethanooxirano[e]indene (56). To a stirred solution of the epimerically pure olefin 39 ( $104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of methylene chloride were added sodium bicarbonate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59$ mmol ) and $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 to 3 h followed by quenching with saturated sodium bicarbonate. The layers were separated, and the organics were washed twice with saturated sodium bicarbonate and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave 127 mg of a clear oil. Flash chromatography ( $75 \%$ ether, hexane (v/v)) afforded 109 mg (an overall yield of $97 \%$ ) of the desired anti epoxide 56 contaminated with approximately $5 \%$ of the isomeric syn epoxide 55. The mixture was not readily separable by chromatography. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 3605 (m), 3275-3540 (br m), 2945 (br s), 2875 (s), 1445 (m), $1375(\mathrm{~m}), 1360(\mathrm{~m}), 1250(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 1095(\mathrm{~m}), 1050(\mathrm{~s}), 1030(\mathrm{~m})$, $1015(\mathrm{~m}), 1000(\mathrm{~m}), 850(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.93(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 0.96-1.28, 1.19 (m, s, 5 H ), 1.29-1.98 (m, 8 H ), 2.0-2.32 (m, 1 H) , 2.77, 2.90, $3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 222.1593\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 222.1620$ )
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 7 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-4-(Hydroxymethyl)-7-hydroxy-8,8-dimethyl-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (30). To a stirred solution of the mixture of epoxides 55 and 56 ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was added 5 mL of a saturated stock solution of lithium aluminum hydride, and the solution was warmed to $38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched cautiously with solid sodium sulfate decahydrate. The salts were removed by filtration and washed with ether. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave 63 mg of a white solid. The mixture of cis diol 30 and trans diol 22 were readily separable by flash chromatography ( $50 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )) yielding 3 mg of trans diol 22 identical with the previously prepared material. The cis diol 30 ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) was isolated as a crystalline white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 156-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3620(\mathrm{~m}), 3150-3500(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 2825-3000(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1700(\mathrm{w})$, $1460(\mathrm{~m}), 1450(\mathrm{~m}), 1375(\mathrm{~m}), 1350(\mathrm{~m}), 1260(\mathrm{~m}), 1030(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-2.18$, $1.36(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~s}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1$, $10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.4 (dd, $J=4.4,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / e$ 224.1761 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 224.1816$ ).
( $1 R^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-4-Hydroxy-1,9,9-trimethyl-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (24). To a solution of diol $25(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 2 mL ) containing triethylamine ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added methanesulfonyl chloride ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 5 mL ). The solution was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 3$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude mesylate was then dissolved in THF ( 2 mL ), and lithium triethyl borohydride ( 1.20 mmol ) was added. The mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h and then was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Excess reducing agent was decomposed by the sequential additon of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}), 4 \mathrm{~N}$ $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux for 1 h , allowed to cool to room temperature, and poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was then extracted with pentane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed by distillation. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (1:12) as the eluting solvent to give 7 mg ( $30 \%$ ) of pure $\mathbf{2 4}$, identical with the compound obtained previously.
(3aS*,7S*)-2,3,4,5-Tetrahydro-3a,7-ethano-6H-indene (57). A solution consisting of alcohol $12(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phosphorus oxychloride ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 5 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pyridine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. After the reaction mixture cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \%$ hydrochloric acid was cautiously added, followed by ether. The organic layer was separated, washed with $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid, and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded 83 mg (83\%) of olefin 57 as a volatile liquid: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3025$ (w), 2980 (w), 2930 (s). 2850 (s), 1440 (m), 1090 (w), 1000 (w), 975 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.30-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $1.88-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{l} \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 158.429,109.378,56.238,39.359,35.888,35.712$, $35.359,34.742,34.006,31.124,19.832$; mass spectrum, $m / e 148.1246$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16}, 148.1252$ ).
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-4-Chloro-4,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (59). The alcohol $14(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of thionyl chloride ( $716 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 50 mL of dimethylformamide at room temperature. After the mixture was stirred for 3 h , the reaction mixture was treated with saturated ammonium chloride and diluted with ether, and the organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous potassium
carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave 410 mg of a yellow oil. Flash chromatography (hexane) afforded 293 mg ( $88 \%$ ) of chloride 59 as a mobile oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2950(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2865(\mathrm{~s}), 1460(\mathrm{~m}), 1445(\mathrm{~s})$, $1310(\mathrm{~m}), 1240(\mathrm{~m}), 1200(\mathrm{~m}), 1110(\mathrm{~m}), 965(\mathrm{~m}), 885(\mathrm{~m}), 865(\mathrm{~s})$, $735(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.04-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.27-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 184.1012$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}, 184.1018$ ).
( $3 \mathrm{aS}^{*}, \mathbf{4 S ^ { * } , 7 \mathrm { aS } ^ { * } \text { )-4,7a-Ethanoperhydroindene (58). To a suspension }}$ of lithium metal ( $25.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 50 mL of tetrahydrofuran cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added chloride 59 ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2 mL of tetrahydrofuran. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred for 16 h at room temperature followed by quenching with 1 mL of ethanol. Ether was added, and the mixture was washed twice with brine and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave 129 mg of a yellow oil. The crude product was filtered through a column of silica gel with hexane. Removal of the hexane in vacuo afforded 59 mg ( $54 \%$ ) of 58 as a volatile liquid: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2950$ (s), 2920 (s), 2860 (m), 2845 (m), 1445 (m), 1075 (w), 1000 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( 250 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.32-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 57.36,51.65,39.86,38.86$, $36.15,33.71,33.30,28.10,22.71,19.69$; mass spectrum, $m / e 150.1379$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18}, 150.1400$ ).
( $4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ ) $-4,7 \mathrm{a}$-Ethanoperhydroindene (58). A solution consisting of olefin 57 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2 mL of absolute ethanol and a catalytic quantity of $10 \%$ platinum on carbon ( 30 mg ) was stirred for 3 $h$ under 1 atm of hydrogen. The solution was diluted with hexane and filtered through a pad of celite. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded $16 \mathrm{mg}(40 \%)$ of 58 contaminated with the trans ring juncture isomer. The major compound was identical with hydrocarbon 58 obtained from chloride 59.
$1,2,3,3 \mathrm{a}, 4,5,7,8$-Octahydrocy clopenta[c]pentalene and $\mathbf{2 , 3 , 3 a}, 4,7,8$ -Hexahydro- $1 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{H}$-cyclopenta $[\mathrm{c}]$ pentalene ( 60 ). A solution of alcohol $13(115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phosphorus oxychloride ( $417 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Pyridine was added, and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and cautiously quenched with $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid. After the mixture was diluted with ether and separated, the organic layer was washed twice with $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded 93 mg $(90 \%)$ of a volatile liquid as a mixture of isomers of 60 , which were not separated: IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 3030 (w), 2875-3000 (br s), $2850(\mathrm{~s}), 1450(\mathrm{~s})$, $2160(\mathrm{~m}), 900(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.18-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 8$ H), 1.87-2.10 (m, 3 H ), 2.11-2.26 (m, 2 H ), 2.45-2.96(m,1 H), 5.08, $5.25(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 148.1214\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16}$, 148.1252).

Decahydrocyclopenta[c]pentalene (61). A solution consisting of a mixture of olefins $60(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of ethanol and a catalytic quantity of $10 \%$ platinum on carbon ( 10 mg ) was stirred for 5 $h$ under 1 atm of hydrogen. The solution was diluted with pentane and filtered through a pad of Celite. Removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded 40 mg ( $50 \%$ ) of a volatile liquid ( 61 ), whose ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum was identical with the known compound: NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 1.18-1.44 (m, 4 H$), 1.45-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H})$; NMR $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 62.00$ (62.0), 52.30 (52.4), 42.03 (42.1), 33.51 (33.6), 33.42 (33.5), 26.74 (26.8); mass spectrum, $m / e 150.1427\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18}, 150.1408\right)$.
(3aR*,6aS*)-3a-(2-Oxopropyl)-6a-(2-oxoethyl)perhydropentalene (62). The crude reaction product from the methanesulfonic acid catalyzed rearrangement of olefin $36(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol ( 3 mL ) and treated with ozone at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of sodium sulfite ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the resulting solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h . Methanol was removed at reduced pressure, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether. The organic solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed by distillation at atmosphere pressure. The crude product was purified by HPLC using ethyl acetate/hexane ( $1: 10$ ) as eluting solvent to give $40 \mathrm{mg}(42 \%)$ of the diketone 62 as a colorless oil: NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 1.2-2.4 (comp, 12 H ), 2.01 (s, 3 H ), 2.16 (s, 3 H ), $2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{aS} S^{*}$ )-7-0x0-4-methyl-8-methylene-3a,7a-ethanoperhydroindene (63). Through a solution of enone $42(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$ in hexane ( 800 mL ) was bubbled allene ( $16.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was then photolyzed at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h through a Pyrex filter. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by prepartive HPLC using ethyl acetate/hexane (1:3) as the eluting solvent to give $2.8 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$ of a $7: 1$ mixture of the desired ketone 63 and its isomer at C-4. A pure sample of 63 could be obtained by $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction of the ketone mixture to the corresponding alcohols followed by HPLC separation and subsequent oxidation of the correct alcohol to the desired ketone 63: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2950,2880,1690,1400$,
$895 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.20-2.25$ (comp, 11 H ), 2.57 (ddd, $J=2.5,5.0,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.75 (br $\mathrm{d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{l} \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 190.1362\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}, 190.1357$ ).
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 6 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-2,4-Dimethyl-1-oxo-3a,6a-propanoperhydropentalene (64). A solution of ketone $63(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether ( 15 mL ) containing $10 \% \mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{C}(90 \mathrm{mg})$ was stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ at room temperature for 1 h . The catalyst was removed by suction filtration and washed with ether ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solvent was distilled off carefully at 1 atm to give $280 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%)$ of a colorless oil consisting of the two C-8 methyl isomers: IR $2940,2870,1670,900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( 250 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 0.88$ (m, 6 H ), 1.07-2.30 (comp, 12 H ), 2.40 (ddd, $J=2.5,4.3$, $17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.65 (dd, $J=5,17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / e$ $192.1513\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}$, 192.1514).

To a solution of this ketone ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 25 mL ) was added $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $369 \mathrm{mg}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was heated to reflux for 18 h and then was allowed to cool to room temperature. The solution was diluted with ether ( 25 mL ), washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was distilled off carefully at 1 atm , and the crude product was purified by preparative HPLC using ethyl acetate/hexane ( $1: 20$ ) as the eluting solvent to give 232 mg ( $83 \%$ ) of pure 64 as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ $2940,2860,1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ), 1.03 (d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.06-1.93 (comp, 12 H ), 2.23 (dd, $J$ $=6.5,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e 192.1511\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}$, 192.1514).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 81.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.48$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 81.35 ; \mathrm{H}$, 10.48 .
( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 6 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-3,3a,4,5,6,6a-Hexahydro-1,2,4-trimethyl-3a,6apropanopentalene (33). To a flask containing methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( $2.31 \mathrm{~g}, 6.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added tert-amyl oxide $(6.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzene $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting yellow solution was stirred for 0.5 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of ketone $64(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was mixed for another 2 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then was allowed to cool to room temperature. The solution was diluted with ether ( 15 mL ), washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was distilled off carefully at 1 atm , and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography using pentane as eluting solvent to give $132 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$ of the desired exocyclic olefin as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2930,2850,1720,1650,1435,880 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( 250 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-1.71$ (comp, 12 H ), 1.95 (dd, $J=6.4,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I}$ H), 2.46 (m, I H), 4.57 (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; mass spectrum, $m / e$ $190.1725\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22}, 190.1722$ ).

To a solution of the olefin ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h and then was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was distilled off at 1 atm to give $110 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%)$ of pure 33 as a colorless oil, identical with the compound obtained previously.

Hexahydro-9,9-dimethyl-1 $\boldsymbol{H}$-3a,8-ethano- $\mathbf{5 H}$-dicyclopenta $[\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}$ ffuran5 -one (65). To a solution of ether 34 ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of carbon tetrachloride was added a solution of ruthenium dioxide ( 12 mg , 0.09 mmol ) containing sodium periodate ( $586 \mathrm{mg}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL of water. The reaction was stirred vigorously for 24 h . Carbon tetrachloride was added to the solution, and the layers were separated. Isopropyl alcohol ( 2 mL ) was added to the organics, and the solution was dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave a dark oil, which was purified via flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ ether, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )) affording $202 \mathrm{mg}\left(100 \%\right.$ ) of lactone 65: IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 2950 (br s), 2860 (m), 1750 (s), $1450(\mathrm{w}), 1320(\mathrm{w}), 1270(\mathrm{~m}), 1190$ (m), $970(\mathrm{~m}), 910(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.14 (s, 3 H ), $1.17-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.0-2.28(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 2.56 (br d, $J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / e 220.1464\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 220.1463$ ).
( $1 R^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 5 \mathrm{~S} S^{*}, 8 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-Decahydro-5a-hydroxy-4,4-dimethylcyclopenta [ $c$ ]pentalene- 1 -methanol (66). To a stirred solution of lithium aluminum hydride ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added lactone $65(64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the solution was warmed to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for I h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, and solid sodium sulfate decahydrate was added. The salts were removed by filtering them through a pad of Celite and washing with ether. Concentration of the filtrate in vacuo afforded 102 mg of a gummy solid. MPLC ( $20 \%$ ether acetate, hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )) gave $57 \mathrm{mg}(89 \%$ ) of 66 as a white crystalline solid: $\mathrm{mp} 70-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3600(\mathrm{w}), 3100-3500$ (br m), 2950 (br s), 2860 (m), $1450(\mathrm{~m}), 1445$ (m), 1375 (w), 1360 (w), $1280(\mathrm{~m}), 1260(\mathrm{~m}), 1070(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.0(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 1.08 (s, 3 H ), 1.44-2.01 (m, 15 H ), 3.14-3.40 (br s, 1 H), 3.84-4.0 (m, 2 H ); mass spectrum, $224.1770\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 224.1776$ ).
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#### Abstract

Hydroxymethylene (1) has been produced by the reaction of arc generated carbon atoms with water, and several of its intermolecular reactions have been studied. Deuterium-labeled 1 reacts with formaldehyde to generate glycolaldehyde (2) which is labeled with deuterium on the aldehydic carbon. This result, along with those obtained from ab initio molecular orbital studies ([MP2/6-31G*]), indicates that 1 reacts with formaldehyde via a 5-center transition state involving nucleophilic attack of the carbene carbon on the aldehydic carbon with concurrent transfer of the hydroxyl hydrogen to the carbonyl oxygen. This mechanism is more favorable than $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion. Studies of the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with 2 and acetaldehyde indicate that the 5 -center mechanism predominates in these systems as well. Carbene 1 can also effect intermolecular hydrogenation of an alkene. Thus, generation of 1 in the presence of $(Z)-2$-butene results in the formation of small amounts of butane. The analogous process in which ethylene is hydrogenated by $\mathbf{1}$ to form ethane is calculated at the [MP2/6-3IG*] level to proceed without barrier.


Hydroxymethylene (1) may be regarded as the parent of a series of carbenes in which the attachment of one or two oxygen atoms to the carbene carbon modifies the traditional electrophilicity of
the carbene center. The existence of resonance structures such as $\mathbf{1 b}$ may bring about ambiphilic or nucleophilic reactivity in such carbenes. Although there have been numerous theoretical studies
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